

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,820	07/21/2003	John H. Rallis	P3179	7373
30143 TODD N. HA	7590 04/06/2005 THAWAY	EXAMINER		
119 N. COMM	IERCIAL ST. #620	ADAMS, GREGORY W		
BELLINGHA	M, WA 98225		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/624,820	RALLIS, JOHN H.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
GREGORY W. ADAMS	3652		

	GREGORY W. ADAMS	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>\( \)\[ \]\[ \]\] The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic le of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp						
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w			appeal. Since a			
AMENDMENTS						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			cause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below						
<ul><li>(c)  They are not deemed to place the application in bei appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially rec	auding or simplifying ti	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		. ,	•			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-19 and 22-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					

/Gregory W Adams/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3652 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments to at least the specification as well as calian 1 require further search and/or consideration. In addition, a potential issue is whether the invention had in their possession at the time of filing the knowledge of whether rows of multiple pallets was well known and equates to "two-abreast" as now amended in claims 1-15, i.e. whether there is an issue of new matter. Thus, the amendment cannot be entered pending thorough examination of the record sell as review of the cited prior art to consider whether the amendments place the claims in condition for allowance. Applicant argues that Holtz's stop cannot be combined with Lang because stops have to be above a surface to function. Claim 16 merely requires means for moving a paddle from a retracted beneath an upper surface to a deployed position. Lang discloses a paddle 28, 60 and privoting means 16 which moves paddle from above surface 50 to below. Holtz merely improves on this means by modifying said paddle 28 in Lang to that of one that extends a surface withful. Whether Holtz must remain above a surface to function is irrelevant because and sickoses a retractable stop where Holtz is offered for a wholly different modification. And, Holtz's paddle retracts at a track end. Lang discloses a track end as well where Holtz's baddle could be to vivoted into an undeployed nosition.